

bbwilbur@frontier.com

From: "Bob Wilbur" <bbwilbur@broadstripe.net>
Date: Friday, November 09, 2012 4:31 PM
To: "Gwyn Staton" <gwynstaton1@msn.com>; "Dustin Frederick" <dustin@local519.org>; "Elsa Palmer" <suzyalmer1@me.com>
Cc: "Ed Delahanty" <whshed@live.com>; <caroldchina5@yahoo.com>; <hendrickcj@gmail.com>
Subject: Re: true but subcommittees are not provided in our bylaws so you may hear that from dan

Actually last year the Lake Comm was made a subcommittee of the Grounds Committee over my objections, which Dan ignored so ????

From: [Gwyn Staton](#)
Sent: Friday, November 09, 2012 11:56 AM
To: [Bob Wilbur](#) ; [Dustin Frederick](#) ; [Elsa Palmer](#)
Cc: [Ed Delahanty](#) ; [caroldchina5@yahoo.com](#) ; [hendrickcj@gmail.com](#)
Subject: true but subcommittees are not provided in our bylaws so you may hear that from dan

and we complained the executive subcommittee of the Board was unauthorized but a good suggestion that they be subcommittees or just disbanded.

Gwyn Staton
 7506 34th Ave NW
 Seattle, Wa. 98117
 206-784-6044

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This e-mail message (including attachments) is covered by the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 18 U.S.C. §§ 2510-2521, and is intended only for the person or entity to which it is addressed and may contain confidential and/or privileged material. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure dissemination, copying, forwarding or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply e-mail and destroy all copies of the original message.

From: bbwilbur@broadstripe.net
To: gwynstaton1@msn.com; dustin@local519.org; suzyalmer1@me.com
CC: whshed@live.com; caroldchina5@yahoo.com
Subject: Re: RE:
Date: Fri, 9 Nov 2012 08:42:50 -0800

I don't mind preparing a letter to the Board to be read during the committee assignments portion of the meeting, but before doing so, can others confirm that Dan stated that re ad hoc committees? If we agree he did, then perhaps we add as many signatories to the letter as we can muster (just went ahead a drafted something you can all chew on if you see fit).

That said, however, I suggest we hold back the letter **IF** the committee charges are fairly reasonable, and though perhaps cumbersome, do not seem to be an attempt to obfuscate such that the Board can get easily around adopting committee-generated recommendations. That is, instead of stirring the pot and furthering the schism, we just get going with our committee work.

Thoughts?

bob

From: [Gwyn Staton](#)

Sent: Friday, November 09, 2012 1:03 AM

To: [Bob Wilbur](#) ; [Dustin Frederick](#) ; [Elsa Palmer](#)

Cc: [Ed Delahanty](#) ; caroldchina5@yahoo.com

Subject: RE:

Since dan said we could not have ad hoc committees, how did we get the two Jean made up? Who will ask this at the meeting? Bob??? I will be asking for my corr to be read into the record and that will be long and she will dispense with me I am sure, so you or another volunteer should go first.

Gwyn Staton
7506 34th Ave NW
Seattle, Wa. 98117
206-784-6044

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This e-mail message (including attachments) is covered by the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 18 U.S.C. §§ 2510-2521, and is intended only for the person or entity to which it is addressed and may contain confidential and/or privileged material. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure dissemination, copying, forwarding or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply e-mail and destroy all copies of the original message.

From: bbwilbur@broadstripe.net
To: dustin@local519.org; suzypalmer1@me.com; gwynstaton1@msn.com
Subject: Re:
Date: Thu, 8 Nov 2012 17:40:54 -0800

I agree with Dustin and Suzy re dues strategy.

That still leaves on the table the matter of tangled committee responsibilities versus the simple approved motion. We may want to consider some short, generic written comments that could be read into the record and handed to the board that would challenge any ad hoc committee tasking that would compromise the approved motion. That? and what else at Saturday's noon meeting? Tentative who-do-this/that? (Note: I don't want to waste my time doing stuff that just get's stuffed back down

our throat.) Any sense in considering a loud and boisterous meeting walkout (“See YOU in Court!”) if things go hopeless (cool if Bob P joined :)? Or should we stay there, giggle wildly, and make loud disgusting noises?

Anyway, did I correctly hear Dan say at the Oct meeting something to the effect that we couldn’t (bylaws) have an ad hoc committee as per motion’s original language? If so, we now have two ad hoc thingamachings, so what does that say about a rotten smell in Denmark?

Always somepin’
Bob

From: [Dustin Frederick](#)
Sent: Thursday, November 08, 2012 4:51 PM
To: [Suzy Palmer](#) ; [Gwyn Staton](#)
Cc: [Bob Wilbur](#)
Subject: RE: RE:

The more I think about the strategy re this ---I think Suzy is correct because the board has already increased the dues to the 10% limit for 2013 i.e. \$138

I think they are going to drag their feet on any other “dues” increase until we can push them forward with a committee recommendation. We may have to call it a “special assessment”---so we don’t get caught up in a bylaw problem that appears to restrict a “dues” increase to once a year in the annual voting with notice at least 30 days prior to the annual meeting. I reviewed the bylaws on dues and they are somewhat contradictory.

So to avoid controversy---we could run the numbers in the committees, develop the financing plan and propose a special assessment for 2013 of \$112 (difference between 138 and 250) and then propose a dues increase for 2014 of 250 plus 10%. It gets us to the same place financially with no bylaws issues.

Dustin

From: Suzy Palmer [mailto:suzypalmer1@me.com]
Sent: Thursday, November 08, 2012 4:29 PM
To: Gwyn Staton
Cc: Bob Wilbur; Dustin Frederick
Subject: Re: RE:

Gwyn,
It does not. I think we have to be clear with each other which battle we want to fight on Saturday. Once the committee is formed and meets a dues increase will be asked for and that can happen very quickly. Perhaps an assessment as well. We have very little control over action at the meeting as long as they have the majority vote. They can choose not to recognize us. It always leads us back to the idea of changing the composition of the board. Also re: the sheriff...what would the community do if they knew that \$200 was the cost of having the sheriff at the Annual Meeting...more than the current annual dues. I think we need to get the committee (s) functioning first.

Suzy

Suzy Palmer

195 Perry Dr.

Coupeville, WA 98239

Cell: (847) 902-4922

Home: (360) 639-6050

On Nov 08, 2012, at 01:14 PM, Gwyn Staton <gwynstaton1@msn.com> wrote:

i cant open now. does it include your my request for dues increase to be mailed out this month? If not, can you please ask again that it be sent out now to allow for submitting in dues bill for next year? Bob please bring those ballots to the meeting?

Gwyn Staton
7506 34th Ave NW
Seattle, Wa. 98117
206-784-6044

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This e-mail message (including attachments) is covered by the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 18 U.S.C. §§ 2510-2521, and is intended only for the person or entity to which it is addressed and may contain confidential and/or privileged material. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure dissemination, copying, forwarding or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply e-mail and destroy all copies of the original message.

To: gwynstaton1@msn.com
CC: dustin@local519.org; iversid@cablespeed.com; smidouglas@gmail.com;
agstensland847@gmail.com; bbwilbur@broadstripe.net; hendrickcj@gmail.com;
htrain4@gmail.com; alex@torrvac.com; caroldchina5@yahoo.com
From: suzypalmer1@me.com
Subject: Re: RE:
Date: Thu, 8 Nov 2012 19:39:31 +0000

Reasons given work for me...if it helps to control the meeting in a more organized way so people will be heard, then I'm in support of the recorder.

I have not seen any of Dustin's requests for agenda items listed on a new agenda. I did see Maria's note about Bylaws final proofing needed...how many proofs are needed? *(I think that we have to accept changes as written because the members voted on what they read in the ballot. I don't think the board or committee can change them without notifying the entire membership.)* I am attaching the Agenda for Saturdays meeting that I received (as a board member) from Karen earlier this week. I have not received an updated agenda as

of this writing.

Suzy

Suzy Palmer

195 Perry Dr.

Coupeville, WA 98239

Cell: (847) 902-4922

Home: (360) 639-6050

On Nov 08, 2012, at 11:06 AM, Gwyn Staton <gwynstaton1@msn.com> wrote:

I think it will help with people not being cut off and they can state their names and stand up and be recognized. Then Jean can't cut us off as she has been. Please do not warn her or anyone else there will be a reporter. I do not want what happened before, that she is told so she cuts off the motions in the beginning of the meeting or adjourns.

Gwyn Staton
7506 34th Ave NW
Seattle, Wa. 98117
206-784-6044

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This e-mail message (including attachments) is covered by the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 18 U.S.C. §§ 2510-2521, and is intended only for the person or entity to which it is addressed and may contain confidential and/or privileged material. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure dissemination, copying, forwarding or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply e-mail and destroy all copies of the original message.

From: dustin@local519.org
To: gwynstaton1@msn.com; suzypalmer1@me.com
CC: iversid@cablespeed.com; smidouglas@gmail.com;
agstensland847@gmail.com; bbwilbur@broadstripe.net;
hendrickcj@gmail.com; htrain4@gmail.com; alex@torrvac.com;
caroldchina5@yahoo.com
Date: Thu, 8 Nov 2012 14:01:36 -0500
Subject: RE:

All--the only reason I was re thinking having the Court Reporter there was my concern that she could not effectively record the meeting--given all her "conditions".

However----I'm willing to give it a try.

Suzy----I don't think it will cause any more discontent than what currently exists---and I agree that it is time to begin creating a "record".

I'm in for my share---whatever that turns out to be.

Dustin

From: Gwyn Staton [<mailto:gwynstaton1@msn.com>]
Sent: Thursday, November 08, 2012 10:52 AM
To: Elsa Palmer; Dustin Frederick
Cc: Sid Iverson; Douglas Smith; agstensland847@gmail.com; Bob Wilbur; hendrickcj@gmail.com; htrain4@gmail.com; alex@torrvac.com; caroldchina5@yahoo.com
Subject:

We need to make the record and per my conversation with Dustin I engaged her. I do not think we should cancel. It is clear Jean has changed the approved motion so we need this on the record. I'm out of town today.

Gwyn Staton
 7506 34th Ave NW
 Seattle, Wa. 98117
 206-784-6044

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This e-mail message (including attachments) is covered by the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 18 U.S.C. §§ 2510-2521, and is intended only for the person or entity to which it is addressed and may contain confidential and/or privileged material. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure dissemination, copying, forwarding or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply e-mail and destroy all copies of the original message.

To: dustin@local519.org
 CC: gwynstaton1@msn.com; iversid@cablespeed.com; smidouglas@gmail.com; agstensland847@gmail.com; bbwilbur@broadstripe.net; hendrickcj@gmail.com; htrain4@gmail.com; alex@torrvac.com; joanna.weeks@gmail.com; caroldchina5@yahoo.com
 From: suzypalmer1@me.com
 Subject: Re: Who will pitch in for this and paralegal?? We may have to go this route to get our pool motion followed by the Board now that Jean has gone sideways on us.
 Date: Thu, 8 Nov 2012 18:35:10 +0000

I agree with Dustin and need to think this through. My first thought is that it will look like we are trying to intimidate the "majority of 4" and will not assist us with communicating our real goal...to get this committee up and rolling. I need to find out how Jean got side tracked and if this was a power play from the "no pool" group or if

it is a serious control issue by the "majority of 4".

Suzy Palmer

195 Perry Dr.

Coupeville, WA 98239

Cell: (847) 902-4922

Home: (360) 639-6050

On Nov 08, 2012, at 06:41 AM, Dustin Frederick <dustin@local519.org> wrote:

All---after reading her conditions---I'm not sure this is going to work. I don't think we can control the meeting enough for her to get an accurate transcript. I'm willing to try but it may end up being a waste of time. I need to think about this a little bit more. I'll split the cost with whomever can afford to participate--- the more the cheaper per person.

Dustin

From: Gwyn Staton [<mailto:gwynstaton1@msn.com>]

Sent: Wednesday, November 07, 2012 9:57 PM

To: Dustin Frederick; Elsa Palmer; Sid Iverson; Douglas Smith; agstensland847@gmail.com; Bob Wilbur; hendrickcj@gmail.com; htrain4@gmail.com; alex@torrvac.com; joanna.weeks@gmail.com; caroldchina5@yahoo.com

Subject: Who will pitch in for this and paralegal?? We may have to go this route to get our pool motion followed by the Board now that Jean has gone sideways on us.

We are hiring her because she cannot transcribe video as official record which we may need. We can divide the bill amongst??? I have not yet confirmed with Jim to do the video

From: gwenb@whidbey.com

To: gwynstaton1@msn.com

Subject: meeting

Date: Wed, 7 Nov 2012 20:13:26 -0800

Hi, Gwyn,

Just to reiterate what we discussed on the phone, my Saturday rate is \$95/hour with a 2-hour minimum, billed in 15-minute increments. I arrive 15-20 minutes early to set up and test all equipment and I include that time in the billing.

I have a cancellation fee of \$95 if the job cancels any time after 5pm the day prior of the job.

Page rate for hearings/meetings is \$7 per page for normal type meetings. Anything that is technical is a higher page rate.

The hourly rate is payable at the time of reporting and the page rate is payable within 2 weeks of transcript delivery.

I expect meeting participants to conduct themselves professionally and respect the fact that a record is being made. This means speaking in turn, speaking slowly and clearly (especially when reading from documents), speaking loudly, and identifying themselves when there are more than 5 or 6 people in the room.

If people read from documents, I expect to be given the document they read from at the end.

You didn't mention if there were going to be microphones involved. That introduces a whole new element as it can be very hard to hear unless the room has good acoustics and the microphones are a good quality. I prefer to not use microphones. If they are to be used, I would get there earlier so we can test them out.

Also, cell phones are not allowed during the meeting as they interfere with my equipment.

I've been reporting since 1984. I've done a lot of different types of reporting, worked in California and Washington, and meetings and hearings are among the most difficult of reporting jobs. Especially when there are people present who don't really understand what I'm doing, and that is taking down stenographically every single word that is being spoken, which means I need to hear and understand every single word. Since you are an attorney, you are familiar with this, but in my experience, most lay people are clueless.

Anyway, email me the address and the time the meeting is to begin. I will arrive 15-20 minutes before that time.

My cell phone, should you need to contact me and not be able to reach me at my home phone, is 503.329.8239.

Also, you mentioned December 8. I want to let you know that I'm not available after 2pm on that date as I already have another commitment.

Thanks, Gwyn. I look forward to meeting you.

Gwen Brass