

Bob Wilbur

From: "gwynstaton1" <gwynstaton1@msn.com>
Date: Saturday, December 28, 2013 5:35 PM
To: "Bob Wilbur" <bbwilbur@broadstripe.net>
Subject: Re: Wilbur v. ACBC -- Draft of Order

will call you all its not sufficient to do it on your findings it's got to be in the order part and its not strongly worded it's a very loosely worded

Sent from my Samsung smartphone on AT&T

----- Original message -----

From: Bob Wilbur <bbwilbur@broadstripe.net>
Date: 12/28/2013 4:26 PM (GMT-08:00)
To: Gwyn Staton <gwynstaton1@msn.com>
Cc: Dustin Frederick <dustin@local519.org>
Subject: Re: Wilbur v. ACBC -- Draft of Order

I believe it covers those under Findings of Fact. But the judge cannot make a ruling on that because the merits have not been examined. Presumably the judge is on our side and we don't want to push on that favor too hard at this time.

I am more interested in getting the judge to consider how they thumbed their nose at him re the election not complying with the bylaws.

bob

From: [Gwyn Staton](#)
Sent: Saturday, December 28, 2013 2:43 PM
To: [Bob Wilbur](#)
Subject: RE: Wilbur v. ACBC -- Draft of Order

was this done please copy me

Gwyn Staton
 7506 34th Ave NW
 Seattle, Wa. 98117
 206-784-6044

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This e-mail message (including attachments) is covered by the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 18 U.S.C. §§ 2510-2521, and is intended only for the person or entity to which it is addressed and may contain confidential and/or privileged material. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure dissemination, copying, forwarding or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply e-mail and destroy all copies of the original

message.

From: bbwilbur@broadstripe.net
 To: dustin@local519.org; gwynstaton1@msn.com
 Subject: Re: Wilbur v. ACBC -- Draft of Order
 Date: Wed, 11 Dec 2013 08:52:49 -0800

I agree, and will pass on to Chris in similar wording.

From: [Gwyn Staton](#)
Sent: Wednesday, December 11, 2013 7:20 AM
To: [Bob Wilbur](#) ; [Dustin Frederick](#) ; [Gwyn Staton](#)
Subject: RE: Wilbur v. ACBC -- Draft of Order

The order needs to say
 it is all about the pool
 the AOI the bylaws the deeds the committees
 and the Board breached by

- 1 Violated the AOI
- 2.violated the bylaws
3. violated the deeds (breach of K)
- 4 violated the member motion
- 5failed to explore financing
- 6violated by sending out illegal assessment which contravened the motion and the AOI and the Bylaws etc etc

And provide that the holdover board MUST step down and interim board members be appointed until elections can be held unbiased elections permitting all nominees to run who are in good standing

we must ask for what we want that follows the ruling and the judge will grant it.
 Im boarding a plane can someone call chris and see if she is still running and sil.

Gwyn Staton
 7506 34th Ave NW
 Seattle, Wa. 98117
 206-784-6044

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This e-mail message (including attachments) is covered by the Electronic

Communications Privacy Act, 18 U.S.C. §§ 2510-2521, and is intended only for the person or entity to which it is addressed and may contain confidential and/or privileged material. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure dissemination, copying, forwarding or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply e-mail and destroy all copies of the original message.

From: bbwilbur@broadstripe.net
To: gwynstaton1@msn.com; whshed@live.com; caroldchina5@yahoo.com; suzypalmer1@me.com; NatePalmer36@gmail.com
CC: dustin@local519.org
Subject: Fw: Wilbur v. ACBC -- Draft of Order
Date: Tue, 10 Dec 2013 15:56:33 -0800

FYI and if you have concerns, edits or whatever, feel free to send to Dustin and me.
Bob

From: [Bob Wilbur](#)
Sent: Tuesday, December 10, 2013 3:50 PM
To: [Chris Skinner](#)
Cc: [Dustin Frederick](#)
Subject: Re: Wilbur v. ACBC -- Draft of Order

Hi Chris,

In track changes I have suggested some edits and comments. I see no mention of the background for the judge's order, which seems important to me to have summarized, especially his statements re the original deed, the AOI, and the bylaws having all created a presumption of a pool for folks buying property here.

I disagree with the last paragraph concerning holding in a trust account the money collected until trial resolves (see comment on the draft last paragraph) – nothing I saw in the judge's ruling spoke to that. In addition, although the judge did not directly address this, because the nomination process, election, and annual meeting were disrupted, obfuscated, and "delayed for no justified reason" (to paraphrase the judge), the nomination process should be reopened so that anyone currently in good standing is able to submit their name on the ballot. If we can get that in that would be helpful.

Thanks,
Bob

From: [Chris Skinner](#)
Sent: Tuesday, December 10, 2013 11:42 AM
To: [Bob Wilbur](#) ; [Dustin Frederick](#)
Subject: FW: Wilbur v. ACBC -- Draft of Order

Attached is the board's proposed order. I wanted to see what they came up with before I drafted and submitted our version. Please take a look and make any comment for me to use in preparing our version of what the judge intended from his oral ruling.

Christon Skinner

Law Offices of Christon C. Skinner, P.S.
791 SE Barrington

Oak Harbor, WA 98277

360-679-1240

P.O. Box 668

Friday Harbor, WA 98250

360-378-2191

This communication is private and confidential and may constitute attorney "work product." Additionally, it is intended to constitute an electronic communication within the meaning of the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 18 USC 2510. Its disclosure is strictly limited to the recipient intended by the sender of this message. This communication contains confidential and privileged material for the sole use of the intended recipient and receipt by anyone other than the intended recipient does not constitute a loss of the confidential or privileged nature of the communication. Any review or distribution by others is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient please contact the sender by return electronic mail and delete and destroy all copies of this communication.

From: Vasu Addanki [mailto:vaddanki@bpmlaw.com]
Sent: Tuesday, December 10, 2013 11:39 AM
To: Chris Skinner
Cc: David Greenberg
Subject: Wilbur v. ACBC -- Draft of Order

Chris,

Attached is our draft of the Order to be entered by the Court based on the November 27, 2013 ruling. Let's get it filed if you agree with it. My clients keep getting e-mails from Club members misinterpreting the scope and effect of Judge Hancock's ruling. The quicker we can resolve this issue, the better it is for the community.

Thanks,

Vasu

Vasudev N. Addanki

Attorney

Betts, Patterson & Mines, P.S.

One Convention Place

701 Pike Street, Suite 1400

Seattle, WA 98101-3927

D 206.268.8612 | F 206.343.7053

www.bpmlaw.com

 logo+tagline-medium (2)

Confidentiality Notice: This email and any attachments may contain confidential or attorney-client protected information that may not be further distributed by any means without permission of the sender. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that you are not permitted to read its content and that any disclosure, copying, printing, distribution or use of any of the information is prohibited. If you have received this email in error, please immediately notify the sender by return e-mail and delete the message and its attachments without saving in any manner.