

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 IN THE SUPERIOR COURT FOR THE STATE OF WASHINGTON
8 IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF ISLAND

9 ROBOERT WILBUR and DUSTIN
10 FREDERICK,

11 Plaintiffs,

12 v.

13 ADMIRAL'S COVE BEACH CLUB, a
14 Washington non-profit corporation; and JEAN
15 SALLS, MARIA CHAMBERLAIN, KAREN
16 SHAAK, ROBERT PEETZ, ELSA PALMER,
17 ED DELAHANTY AND DAN JONES,
18 individuals,

19 Defendants.

20 SUE CORLISS,

21 Intervenor,

22 v.

23 DUSTIN FREDRICK, ROBERT WILBUR,
24 ADMIRAL'S COVE BEACH CLUB, a
25 Washington non-profit corporation, and its
26 BOARD OF DIRECTORS.

27 Defendants.

Case No.: 13-2-00741-4

NOTICE OF APPEAL TO THE
WASHINGTON COURT OF APPEALS,
DIVISION I, OF INTERVENOR SUSAN
CORLISS'

APPEAL OF MAY 18, 2015 RULING
GRANTING IN PART AND DENYING
IN PART MOTION FOR SUMMARY
JUDGMENT

28 Intervenor Susan Corliss hereby provides her Notice of Appeal of the May 18,
2015 written ruling by Judge Hancock Granting in Part and Denying in Part
Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment. A copy of this ruling is attached. As the

1 appealed-from ruling was made in Island County Superior Court, this Appeal is
2 directed to the Washington Court of Appeals, Division I.

3 Intervenor appeals the entire May 18, 2015 ruling, with the exception of
4 paragraph 10 on page 5 of the Order. This appeal includes, but is not limited to,
5 that portion of the ruling that Susan Corliss' own cross-motion for Summary
6 Judgment was "moot and need not be decided."

7 The parties to the appeal are identified in the case caption above, and they
8 include the Admiral's Cove Beach Club and its Board of Directors, plaintiff Robert
9 Wilbur, and Intervenor Susan Corliss.

10 Respectfully Submitted,

11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28


Jay Carlson, WSBA 30411
Attorney for Intervenor Susan Corliss

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

DECLARATION OF SERVICE

On June 4, 2015, I, Jay Carlson, caused to be served a true and correct copy of this Motion document, by electronic service as previously agreed among the parties, to:

Christopher Nye
Attorney for Admirals Cove Beach Club
791 SE Barrington Drive
Oak Harbor, WA 98277
E: Chris@skinnerlaw.net
T: 360-679-1240
F: 360-679-9131

Christon Skinner
Attorney for Plaintiff
1215 Fourth Avenue, Suite 1700
Seattle, WA 98161-1087
E: cnye@rmlaw.com
P: 206-386-7022
F: 206-223-0152

FILED-COPY

MAY 18 2015

DEBRA VANCELLI
ISLAND COUNTY CLERK

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF ISLAND

ROBERT WILBUR and DUSTIN
FREDERICK,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

ADMIRAL'S COVE BEACH CLUB, a
Washington non-profit corporation; and
JEAN SALLS, MARIA CHAMBERLAIN,
KAREN SHAAK, ROBERT PEETZ, ELSA
PALMER, ED DELAHANTY AND DAN
JONES, individuals,

Defendants.

SUE CORLISS

Intervenor,

vs.

DUSTIN FREDERICK, ROBERT
WILBUR, ADMIRAL'S COVE BEACH
CLUB, a Washington non-profit
corporation, and its BOARD OF
DIRECTORS,

Defendants.

NO. 13-2-00741-4

ORDER GRANTING IN PART
AND DENYING IN PART
PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR
SUMMARY JUDGMENT

THIS MATTER having come on for hearing on March 27, 2015, before the undersigned judge pursuant to Plaintiff, Robert Wilbur's, motion for an order granting summary judgment in his favor and against the defendant, Admiral's Cove Beach Club;

COPY

1 the Plaintiff appearing through his attorney, Christon C. Skinner; Defendant, Admiral's
2 Cove Beach Club, appearing through its attorney Christopher J. Nye; and Intevenor, Sue
3 Corliss appearing through her attorney, Jay Carlson; and the court having heard argument
4 of counsel and having reviewed the following documents:

- 5 1. Plaintiff Robert Wilbur's Amended Motion for Summary Judgment;
- 6 2. Plaintiff Robert Wilbur's Amended Declaration in Support of Motion for
7 Summary Judgment;
- 8 3. Defendant Admiral's Cove Beach Club's Response to Plaintiff's Motion for
9 Summary Judgment;
- 10 4. Declaration of Ed Delahanty in support of Defendant's Response to Plaintiff's
11 Motion for Summary Judgment and the exhibits thereto;
- 12 5. Intevenor Sue Corliss' Brief in Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion for Summary
13 Judgment;
- 14 6. Intervenor Sue Corliss' Declaration of Susan Corliss in Opposition to Plaintiff's
15 Motion for Summary Judgment and the exhibits thereto;
- 16 7. Declaration of Charles Bauer in Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion for Summary
17 Judgment;
- 18 8. Declaration of Maria Chamberlin in Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion for
19 Summary Judgment;
- 20 9. Declaration of John H. Deegan in Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion for Summary
21 Judgment;
- 22 10. Declaration of Cathie Harrison in Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion for Summary
23 Judgment;
- 24 11. Declaration of Delwin R. Johnson in Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion for
25 Summary Judgment and exhibits thereto;
- 26 12. Declaration of Michael J. King in Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion for Summary
27 Judgment;
- 28
- 29
- 30
- 31
- 32

- 1 13. Declaration of Barbara H. Nichols in Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion for
2 Summary Judgment;
3 14. Declaration of Robert Peets in Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion for Summary
4 Judgment;
5 15. Declaration of Bradley Protin in Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion for Summary
6 Judgment;
7 16. Declaration of Jean R. Salls in Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion for Summary
8 Judgment;
9 17. Declaration of Karen Shaak in Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion for Summary
10 Judgment;
11 18. Plaintiff Robert Wilbur's Declaration in Reply to Corliss' Response to
12 Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment and the exhibits thereto; and
13 19. The complete Court file, including the Complaints, Answers and exhibits
14 thereto; and
15
16

17 The court having determined that no genuine issues of material fact exist in
18 connection with the facts and issues identified within the plaintiff's motion for summary
19 judgment; and further that summary judgment in plaintiff's favor for the relief requested
20 in that motion should be granted in part and denied in part as otherwise noted below;
21 and the court further finding and concluding that the Plaintiff is entitled to judgment as
22 a matter of law, now, therefore, it is hereby:
23
24

25 **ORDERED** that the Plaintiff Robert Wilbur's motion for summary judgment is
26 **GRANTED**, to the extent that the Plaintiff is seeking Declaratory Judgment. The court
27 orders and declares:
28

- 29 1. The Admiral's Cove Beach Club ("ACBC") swimming pool and related facilities
30 are among the athletic and recreational facilities contemplated under Article
31 V of the Articles of Incorporation of ACBC which provides that the purpose of
32

1 ACBC is "[t]o construct, install, maintain and/or own and operate athletic and
2 recreational facilities of all types and kinds for the benefits of the members."

- 3 2. ACBC and its Board of Directors must adhere to the requirements and
4 directives set forth in the motion that was made and approved at the
5 Defendant's annual membership meeting on October 27, 2012, unless said
6 motion is properly repealed or amended to remove the duties of the Board of
7 Directors and ACBC, which duties are presently embodied in this motion.
8 That particular motion, as approved, does not contain language that could be
9 construed to permit the demolition or decommissioning of the swimming pool
10 or related facilities as an option for the membership's future consideration.
11
12 3. The prior Board's action to present a ballot to the membership with the option
13 to decommission the pool was contrary to the October 27, 2012, motion. It
14 was therefore invalid and of no force and effect.
15
16 4. The prior Board's action to authorize an assessment to demolish or
17 decommission the ACBC swimming pool and related facilities is invalid and of
18 no force and effect.
19
20 5. The October 25, 2014, motion presented to the membership and
21 characterized as the "Alternative Visions" motion does not supersede, repeal
22 or replace the content or directive set forth in the October 27, 2012 motion,
23 which remains in effect.
24
25 6. In consideration of the applicable provisions of the Articles of Incorporation
26 and Bylaws, the context in which they were promulgated, the circumstances
27 surrounding their promulgation, and the other rules for their interpretation, the
28 general power to dispose of property as set forth in the Articles of
29 Incorporation and Bylaws governing ACBC, does not provide authority to the
30 Board to dispose of the ACBC swimming pool and related facilities.
31
32 7. Any vote on a motion or other action item submitted to the membership at a
regular or special meeting of the membership that would result in the

1 demolition or decommissioning of the ACBC swimming pool, would be invalid
2 and of no effect unless the governing documents of ACBC were first properly
3 amended or changed to allow such action.

4 8. Under the governing documents as presently constituted, the members of the
5 Board of Directors of ACBC have a legal duty and fiduciary obligation:

6 a. to maintain, repair and operate the swimming pool and its related
7 facilities in a reasonable manner and as may be required by local, state
8 and federal law and the governing documents themselves; and

9 b. to take affirmative action, consistent with the governing documents of
10 Admiral's Cove Beach Club, to budget for and raise funds through
11 properly authorized dues and assessments to carry out these duties.

12 9. The Board's duties in this regard include sufficient budgeting and funding
13 decisions that will allow for the future and continued operation and
14 maintenance of the swimming pool and related facilities.

15 10. When making decisions about funding and budgeting, including dues and
16 special assessments, the Board of Directors and the Members of Admiral's
17 Cove Beach Club must adhere to the provisions of ACBC's Articles of
18 Incorporation, Bylaws, and all local, state and federal law.

19 It is further **ORDERED** that Plaintiff Robert Wilbur's motion for summary judgment
20 is **DENIED** to the extent it seeks any injunctive relief. It is further

21 **ORDERED** that Plaintiff's request for the Court to retain continuing jurisdiction
22 over the parties and subject matter of this lawsuit is **DENIED**. Provided, however, that
23 this Order in no way limits any party from seeking further and additional relief based
24 upon facts and issues not presented in this case or fact that have arisen since the date
25 of this court's Order. It is further

26 **ORDERED** that, based upon the Court's decision on Plaintiff's Motion for
27 Summary judgment, Intervenor, Sue Corliss', pending Cross Motion for Summary
28 judgment is moot and need not be decided. It is further

