

Bob Wilbur

From: "Dustin Frederick" <dustin@local519.org>
Date: Monday, March 31, 2014 6:53 PM
To: <bbwilbur@frontier.com>
Subject: RE: Roger Close summary judgement regarding property owners requirement to pay dues and assessments.

They might want to try to jam up a settlement. Maybe they think they can stop me from dropping out as a Plaintiff. Interesting---maybe we go after them personally.

Dustin

From: bbwilbur@frontier.com [mailto:bbwilbur@frontier.com]
Sent: Monday, March 31, 2014 5:27 PM
To: Dustin Frederick
Subject: Re: Roger Close summary judgement regarding property owners requirement to pay dues and assessments.

Nope, but will check in with him now because the last I heard, Vasu was not acting on the motion to dismiss his clients, so they are now wanting to be defendants I guess???

From: [Dustin Frederick](#)
Sent: Monday, March 31, 2014 3:40 PM
To: bbwilbur@frontier.com ; [Gwyn Staton](#)
Subject: RE: Roger Close summary judgement regarding property owners requirement to pay dues and assessments.

Bob---I absolutely agree that a packet should go out to new members with the pertinent summary judgments. I'll make that motion at the next board meeting. Any word from Chris?

From: bbwilbur@frontier.com [mailto:bbwilbur@frontier.com]
Sent: Wednesday, March 26, 2014 5:12 PM
To: Dustin Frederick; Gwyn Staton
Subject: Re: Roger Close summary judgement regarding property owners requirement to pay dues and assessments.

Hey Dustin,

I think the Board should mail out a full explanation of the Close decision along with the current lawsuit once settled, like in a cohesive, concise, and firmly worded package. Karen/Del's comment on ND was just another disgraceful example of the level at which she is capable of operating. If you missed it I responded with:

Del was not there, so of course he did not write this.

Regardless, it is a sad but excellent example of distortion, i.e., taking factual information and altering it to create a desired illusion of reality. In this case, the desire is to disparage and ridicule Dustin and the Board.

It achieves that objective quite effectively by leaving out relevant context, by exaggeration, by omission, by inserting the author's own comments not offered at the meeting, by inserting snide and misleading personal interpretations and tilted impressions, etc. Sadly, this is a repeat performance, and likely, I suppose, to continue.

The author apparently is trying to emulate the humorous style of Dave Barry, the only difference being that Dave lampoons for humor's sake, whereas this author (and hence Del) makes fun to damage and demean.

I must admit to wondering why someone would sacrifice their credibility for such a hollow objective.

From: [Dustin Frederick](#)

Sent: Wednesday, March 26, 2014 3:22 PM

To: bbwilbur@frontier.com ; [Gwyn Staton](#)

Subject: FW: Roger Close summary judgement regarding property owners requirement to pay dues and assessments.

Bob and Gwyn---fyi ---below.

From: Ed Delahanty [<mailto:whshed@live.com>]

Sent: Wednesday, March 26, 2014 2:04 PM

To: Dustin Frederick; Steve Morrow; Fred Salmon; chris hendrickson; Suzy Palmer; Kurt S. Blankenship

Subject: RE: Roger Close summary judgement regarding property owners requirement to pay dues and assessments.

Much appreciate Dustin sending this Order to accompany the other related Order. As far as I can tell, it states in no uncertain terms that all property owners are required to pay dues and assessments as levied by the Board. To "opt out" is not possible.

Agree to add items Dustin requests to the Agenda for our April 12 Board meeting.

Ed.

> From: dustin@local519.org

> To: whshed@live.com; stevem@marketpacers.com; pheffy@aol.com; hendrickcj@gmail.com; suzypalmer1@me.com; kblankenship@bluewilliams.com

> Date: Tue, 25 Mar 2014 19:20:02 -0400

> Subject: Roger Close summary judgement regarding property owners requirement to pay dues and assessments.

>

> All-----I had occasion to look at Karen Shaak's website and there is a poll on the website asking if people want to opt out of ACBC. In addition, her version of the board meeting notes are filled with her opinion and erroneous statements regarding the bylaws. Both of these actions are destructive to ACBC as an organization.

>

> Attached is one of two summary judgments issued in the Close lawsuit---the other was recently sent out by Steve re the fact that we are not an HOA.

>

> The attachment above gets buried and ignored by members of the community who do not want to face the fact that they are required to pay dues and assessments and may not "opt out" of ACBC.

>

> I would like to add the following items to the agenda for the next meeting:

>

>

>

> 1. Discussion regarding the requirement of all property owner's in Admiral's Cove to pay dues and assessments per Island County ORDER ON MOTION FOR PARTIAL SUMMARY JUDGMENT No. 10-2-00479-8 March 22, 2011 (ROGER L. CLOSE Plaintiff, vs. ADMIRAL'S COVE BEACH CLUB INC.)

>

> 2. Discussion regarding the Island County court ruling that; "Property owners may not opt-out of the Admiral's Cove association and the Board of Directors has no authority to permit any owner to opt-out of the Admiral's Cove association;"

>

>

> There is significant discussion within the community regarding these two topics and I believe we have an obligation to correct the erroneous information that is being promulgated.

>

> Dustin