

bbwilbur@frontier.com

From: "Gwyn Staton" <gwynstaton1@msn.com>
Date: Saturday, May 18, 2013 12:26 AM
To: "Bob Wilbur" <bbwilbur@broadstripe.net>; "Dustin Frederick" <dustin@local519.org>
Subject: RE: vote tampering

the blaws say they go to admirals cove po box i recall. lets draft something about dual control and chain of custody and add this for bylaw committee review. I asked Jean again to be on it as I had signed up. no response.

Gwyn Staton
7506 34th Ave NW
Seattle, Wa. 98117
206-784-6044

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This e-mail message (including attachments) is covered by the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 18 U.S.C. §§ 2510-2521, and is intended only for the person or entity to which it is addressed and may contain confidential and/or privileged material. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure dissemination, copying, forwarding or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply e-mail and destroy all copies of the original message.

From: bbwilbur@broadstripe.net
To: suzypalmer1@me.com; whshed@live.com
CC: dustin@local519.org; gwynstaton1@msn.com
Subject: vote tampering
Date: Fri, 17 May 2013 14:44:37 -0700

Being untrusting of mind I was looking at the ballot and realizing that it is tamperable. There is a sticker saying Ballot. Were that sticker applied in one manner to one group of envelopes and in another position on others, someone wanting to rig the votes could send out one envelope type to the general group of folks and another type of envelope to the known pool supporters. Then on receipt of ballots, it would be easy for someone to simply lose/shred any number of the latter group.

Suzu & Ed were not even aware of the ballot having been sent, so cannot shed any light on that possibility. I'm not accusing but I am feeling uneasy. Who is picking up the mail and who locks the ballots up and who has the key/combo? The envelopes should have been printed.

And there is another way: envelopes returned are counted and after the last pickup of the mail, the difference between number sent and number returned is a matter of subtraction. That leaves room for

adding in some phony ballots to pad the vote.

The ballot should have been sent to a neutral third party not ACBC.

Or am I paranoid?...bob