

Bob Wilbur

From: "Gwyn Staton" <gwynstaton1@msn.com>
Date: Tuesday, May 06, 2014 12:18 AM
To: "Bob Wilbur" <bbwilbur@broadstripe.net>; "Elsa Palmer" <suzy Palmer1@me.com>; "Dustin Frederick" <dustin@local519.org>
Subject: RE: Joint meeting minutes April 28th 2014

absolutely correct

Gwyn Staton
 7506 34th Ave NW
 Seattle, Wa. 98117
 206-784-6044

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This e-mail message (including attachments) is covered by the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 18 U.S.C. §§ 2510-2521, and is intended only for the person or entity to which it is addressed and may contain confidential and/or privileged material. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure dissemination, copying, forwarding or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply e-mail and destroy all copies of the original message.

From: bbwilbur@broadstripe.net
To: suzy Palmer1@me.com; dustin@local519.org; gwynstaton1@msn.com
Subject: Re: Fwd: Joint meeting minutes April 28th 2014
Date: Mon, 5 May 2014 22:01:45 -0700

I must have missed this statement or somehow thought it okay, but on this 2nd read through, it jumped out and hit me as dangerous and potentially in conflict with the declaratory judgment:

“Since the membership must vote and approve the financing option the consensus was that the committees recommend to the Board that the ballot to the membership contain the following two options.”

There is no such requirement that the membership must *approve* such. I suggest not reinforcing that sort of thinking, which can be misunderstood as a diversion of the Board’s responsibility in any way to the membership. And worse yet, it reads as a full joint committee consensus. Some simple language may fix:

“Since the October 2012 motion speaks to a membership vote on pool rehabilitation options, the consensus was that the committees recommend to the Board that a ballot to the membership contain the following two options.”

Or based on the next paragraph, perhaps this revision “....on pool rehabilitation *options*, those members present agreed to poll the rest of the members to see if they concurred with a joint committee consensus to recommend to the Board...” And maybe add to that the results of that poll.

I don't know how to handle, but assume that language was not specifically from the meeting per se but rather the tenor of it was agreed to. If so, then the revision is compatible with such tenor and should not pose potential issues. I count 8 on the cc list that were not at the meeting, so we have no idea of the actual discussion, but it seems this is being presented as a joint committee position, so perhaps it should undergo this one last fix and then the whole thing should be changed from minutes to an approved (vote) position of the joint committee. If so, then suggest not profiling, just change and send out for approval vote?

Sorry it did not register on first read,
Bob

From: [Suzy Palmer](#)

Sent: Monday, May 05, 2014 11:53 AM

To: [Michael Thompson](#) ; [Midge And Bob McCrath Stiles](#) ; [Alex and Ranelle Greco](#) ; [Ed Delahanty](#) ; [Larry and Julie Rosenthal](#) ; [Doug Smith](#) ; ['Susie and Bob Pettersen \(preferred\)'](#) ; [Chris Hendrickson](#) ; [Gwyn Staton](#) ; [Dustin Frederick](#) ; [Suzy \(Elsa\) Palmer](#) ; [Bob and Brenda Wilbur](#) ; [Harry Lynam](#)

Subject: Fwd: Joint meeting minutes April 28th 2014

For your review before the board meeting next Saturday. We have made some corrections, but the content is intact.

Suzy

Suzy Palmer
195 Perry Dr.
Coupeville, WA 98239
suzypalmer1@me.com
Cell: (847) 902-4922
Home: (360) 639-6050
Email: suzypalmer1@me.com

The information in this e-mail is intended only for the person to whom it is addressed. If you believe this e-mail was sent to you in error and the e-mail contains confidential medical information, please contact Suzy Palmer at 847-902-4922 or notify suzypalmer1@me.com for proper handling of this information.

Begin forwarded message:

From: Dustin Frederick <dustin@local519.org>
Date: May 02, 2014 4:26:29 PM
To: Suzy Palmer <suzypalmer1@me.com>
Subject: FW: Joint meeting minutes April 28th 2014

Suzy---would you make sure that the rest of the pool committee gets these minutes.

Thanks, dustin

From: Dustin Frederick
Sent: Friday, May 02, 2014 4:10 PM
To: Dennis Eagan (dennis_eagan@hotmail.com); Ed Delahanty; Elsa Palmer; Kurt S. Blankenship; Maria Chamberlain
Cc: 'Gwyn Staton'; 'htrain4@gmail.com'; Susie Pettersen
Subject: Joint meeting minutes April 28th 2014

All-----attached are the minutes of the meeting. Please review and edit as necessary. I would like to present these minutes/recommendations to the Budget and Finance committee and the Board asap.

These represent my best recollection of what occurred. If I have something incorrect --- please let me know.

Dustin