

Bob Wilbur

From: "Gwyn Staton" <gwynstaton1@msn.com>
Date: Monday, September 16, 2013 7:36 PM
To: "Bob Wilbur" <bbwilbur@broadstripe.net>
Subject: I cant remember what else since ive been gone on other stuff all day

That all Board applications received must be submitted to vote by members timely ie they can't delay election and try to be a holdover board. Maybe we need to add that the nominations committee was improperly constituted of board members running for re-election and that they cannot be permitted to be on committee at all since they all had agenda only to permit themselves and each other to run (self dealing and self interested).

That must budget increase in dues as necessary to maintain property (instead of being unreasonable and trying to cut projected expenses which they said they were trying to do instead of raising dues.

This is impractical because they know the expenses cannot be less in most instances. Likewise, they refuse to enforce collection of dues. They also are going to let multiple owners only pay one set of dues rather than per lot. This is important because they want NOT to collect enough money to operate.

They refuse to increase dues so as to bankrupt us. That is what they stated - no increase in dues even though the minimum needed to operate is more than projected dues revenue and that's without any pool expenses, and they must account for some pool expense to avoid irreparable injury. And that's without sufficient expense to maintain the ditch and nothing to treat the lake bloom, so basically they are trimming the budget down to insurance, legal fees, accounting audit, bookkeeper and very little expense for maintaining our property which is supposed to be THE focus.

Fill the pool back in so it does not float away.

Gwyn Staton
7506 34th Ave NW
Seattle, Wa. 98117
206-784-6044

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This e-mail message (including attachments) is covered by the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 18 U.S.C. §§ 2510-2521, and is intended only for the person or entity to which it is addressed and may contain confidential and/or privileged material. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure dissemination, copying, forwarding or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply e-mail and destroy all copies of the original message.

> From: bbwilbur@broadstripe.net
> To: gwynstaton1@msn.com

> Subject: Re: Pool Update: Sept 12
> Date: Mon, 16 Sep 2013 15:11:42 -0700
>
> What is it that we must get Chris to add?
>
> -----Original Message-----
> From: Gwyn Staton
> Sent: Sunday, September 15, 2013 8:24 PM
> To: Bob Wilbur
> Subject: Re: Pool Update: Sept 12
>
> Must get chris to add
>
> Sent from my Samsung smartphone on AT&T
>
> Bob Wilbur <bbwilbur@broadstripe.net> wrote:
>
>>Hi Gwyn,
>>Got your message and assume that on the 23rd the ballots go out – is that
>>it? So what is the plan if the names that should be there aren't there? bob
>>
>>From: Gwyn Staton
>>Sent: Friday, September 13, 2013 7:16 PM
>>To: Bob Wilbur
>>Subject: RE: Pool Update: Sept 12
>>
>>i want new board in and then we can do what is right. we wont need
>>"agreement" from this board so we just need to get the injunction then get
>>new Board in then we can proceed.
>>
>>Gwyn Staton
>>7506 34th Ave NW
>>Seattle, Wa. 98117
>>206-784-6044
>>
>>CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This e-mail message (including attachments) is
>>covered by the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 18 U.S.C. §§
>>2510-2521, and is intended only for the person or entity to which it is
>>addressed and may contain confidential and/or privileged material. Any
>>unauthorized review, use, disclosure dissemination, copying, forwarding or
>>distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please
>>contact the sender by reply e-mail and destroy all copies of the original
>>message.
>>
>>
>>

>>

>>

>>

>>

>>

>>> From: bbwilbur@broadstripe.net

>>> To: gwynstaton1@msn.com

>>> CC: dustin@local519.org

>>> Subject: Re: Pool Update: Sept 12

>>> Date: Fri, 13 Sep 2013 17:20:16 -0700

>>>

>>> Hi Gwyn,

>>> I think what we want to try first is to get the Board to agree to clear

>>> language revisions, as needed, of the bylaws, and then on to the ballot

>>> and

>>> vote. If we don't get that then we hope to be able to afford to go to

>>> court

>>> and get declaratory relief.

>>> bob

>>>

>>> -----Original Message-----

>>> From: Gwyn Staton

>>> Sent: Friday, September 13, 2013 10:53 AM

>>> To: Bob Wilbur

>>> Subject: Re: Pool Update: Sept 12

>>>

>>> some has been decided already like proxy vote is permitted and we will

>>> get

>>> court ruling on two thirds I believe

>>>

>>> Sent from my Samsung smartphone on AT&T

>>>

>>> Bob Wilbur <bbwilbur@broadstripe.net> wrote:

>>>

>>> >Hello All,

>>> >Attached are copies of the documents filed with the court on the 11th,

>>> >and

>>> >copies have be served on the Board members (maybe one or two to go).

>>> >

>>> >I am repeating below what I emailed to you about a week ago, so you have

>>> >it

>>> >all on this single email; I have added language (#4) that Margaret

>>> >Stiles

>>> >reminded me of in the original motion re an impartial facilitator.

>>> > 1.. We want the Board to agree that removal of the pool without

>>> > replacing

>>> it with an athletic facility of similar value and worth would be a de
>>> facto change in the AOI-stated purpose (recreation and athletics) and
>>> as
>>> such would clearly require a 2/3 majority vote.
>>> 2.. We want the Board and the two pool committees to decide whether a
>>> mail ballot or a meeting ballot with a quorum with or without proxy
>>> voting
>>> is the consistent with the governing documents.
>>> 3.. If a mailed ballot is used, it must be consistent with Roberts
>>> Rules
>>> and be either a signed ballot or a signed envelope within which a
>>> second
>>> unmarked envelope containing the actual ballot is placed and sealed.
>>> 4.. The assessment ballot wording must be developed cooperatively by
>>> the
>>> Board and the two pool committees, and as needed an impartial
>>> facilitator,
>>> which was required by the member-approved motion of October 2013 and
>>> the
>>> ballot must include a financing plan for those wanting to pay the
>>> assessment over time (that is, how much one would pay over how many
>>> years).
>>> 5.. The June 2013 ballot on the decommissioning did not comport with
>>> the
>>> ACBC membership direction of October 2102, did not preclude invalid
>>> voting, did not provide a "no" option, and lacked concurrence on
>>> whether a
>>> simple or 2/3 majority was required for passage. Therefore, the ballot
>>> was
>>> invalid and those who have not paid the assessment to decommission the
>>> pool are to remain members in good standing with full voting rights and
>>> rights to run for the Board this October, and those elections must
>>> follow
>>> the bylaws explicitly.
>>> Hoping for quick resolution, and your voice is so critical,
>>> Bob Wilbur
>>> 360-678-4850
>>> 509-548-5745 or cell 509-293-3287
>>>
>>> From: Dustin Frederick
>>> Sent: Friday, September 13, 2013 6:42 AM
>>> To: Bob Wilbur ; Carol Del
>>> Subject: FW: TRO
>>>
>>> Bob and/or Carol----could you please send this to our greater list.
>>>

> > >
> > >
> > >Dustin
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >From: Linda Williams [mailto:Linda@skinnerlaw.net]
> > >Sent: Wednesday, September 11, 2013 5:21 PM
> > >To: Dustin Frederick; Bob Wilbur
> > >Cc: Chris Skinner
> > >Subject: RE: TRO
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >Mr. Frederick and Mr. Wilbur,
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >Attached are copies of the documents filed with the court today. As I
> > >mentioned in an earlier email. We are arranging for service of these
> > >documents on the defendants. Please let me know if you have any
> > >questions.
> > >Thank you.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >Linda Williams
> > >
> > >Paralegal to Christon C. Skinner
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >Law Offices of Christon C. Skinner, P.S.
> > >
> > >791 SE Barrington Drive
> > >
> > >Oak Harbor WA 98277
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >P 360-679-1240
> > >
> > >F 360-679-9131
> > >
> > >
> > >

>>>