

bbwilbur@frontier.com

From: "Gwyn Staton" <gwynstaton1@msn.com>
Date: Monday, September 24, 2012 12:51 PM
To: "Bob Wilbur" <bbwilbur@broadstripe.net>
Subject: FW: assessment ballot

post on next door?

From: dustin@local519.org
 To: karenshaak@gmail.com; jean_salls2000@yahoo.com; hermitanamaria@gmail.com; rapeetz@gmail.com; dan_serv@hotmail.com; caroldchina5@yahoo.com
 Date: Mon, 24 Sep 2012 11:02:59 -0400
 Subject: RE: assessment ballot

Karen---I appreciate your efforts to find a compromise re the ballot and I think the version referenced below is much better than prior versions.

However, I don't agree with the assessment requirement nor the level of dues you are proposing—**and neither one of us have detailed accurate numbers.**

Can anyone give me the dollar figures---based on contractors estimates---- that equate to an assessment of \$992 per perk able lot?

I have not seen that documentation.

Also---on what financial basis do you justify dues of \$275? The required amount of dues to provide a maintenance fund should be worked out by a committee---not one or two people.

Finally,---what about the option of raising the dues to 225 covering the pool, saving the dues and getting donations?

For example---there is not a doubt in my mind that we could raise 100K in donations ---if given the chance over time. I have over 600K invested in my property at Admiral's Cove and I got a recent quote for landscaping improvements that was---at the high end--- 50K. Therefore it makes total sense for me to invest 5-10k in refurbishing the pool---which I am willing to do to maintain the value of my existing investment.

I know the majority of members could not make that kind of commitment---but I believe there are twenty to thirty who can and would---for the same reasons I am willing to do it.

I believe that this Board should take leadership position on this issue and maintain the asset ---i.e. cover it and preserve it---until **all avenues of refurbishment are exhausted. To do less is an abdication of our responsibility as a Board.**

-
Bottom line---you are pushing this vote ---without due diligence and you are adversely affecting my property investment.

-

I can't support the ballot as you have scripted it.

Dustin

From: karen shaak [mailto:karenshaak@gmail.com]

Sent: Sunday, September 23, 2012 6:58 AM

To: Jean Salls; Maria Chamberlain; Bob Peetz; Dan'l Jones; Dustin Frederick; Carol Delahanty

Subject: assessment ballot

OK,

Here is an option that is reworded to enable members to vote to save the pool and vote to pay no assessment and vote to not increase their dues (this maintains the status quo - want it and don't want to pay which everyone likes). It also enables members to vote to demolish the pool.

Needs to go to print tomorrow.

-karen