

[Print](#)[Close](#)

---

## Fwd: Pool Committee Notes - Thursday meeting

---

From: **Cathie Harrison** (cathianne@aol.com)

Sent: Fri 4/26/13 7:30 AM

To: jean\_salls2000@yahoo.com; whshed@live.com; gwynstaton1@msn.com

FYI - from Gwyn - will let you answer this but believe if the funding is not approved and it legally can't sit idle for more than 2 years, it must be shut down/demolished.

Cathie

-----Original Message-----

From: gwynstaton1 <gwynstaton1@msn.com>

To: Cathie Harrison <cathianne@aol.com>

Sent: Thu, Apr 25, 2013 9:05 pm

Subject: Re: Pool Committee Notes - Thursday meeting

what legal reasons do we have to have the entire complex done

Sent from my Samsung Galaxy Note™, an AT&T LTE smartphone

Cathie Harrison <[cathianne@aol.com](mailto:cathianne@aol.com)> wrote:

Good Morning -

'...**joint effort in good faith and trust**' - Members of our pool committee are also on the board - Ed, Dan, Jean. Maria and Karen also participated, as did the whole board at some meetings. So we do have committee members working on the ballot, and the board has received input from other committee members and those who attended meetings.

**Bob**, you attended one pool committee meeting, the initial meeting with the architect, perhaps one more board meeting, then said you were not attending board meetings and haven't participated since. You were invited to the initial working session with Ed and Carol and you declined. You choose not to participate yet enjoy stirring the pot using email or NextDoor. You tend to be publicly rude and disrespectful.

**Gwyn**, you were either out of town, out of country, or having surgery -- never available. You recruited others to be on the committee (we had 12) -- Fred showed up for one meeting only (he told me you'd contacted him to be on the committee). Many others never responded to any email. Harry, Tim, Sue Corliss, and Susie Pettersen were the non-board folks who attended most.

Neither of you have brought anything (positive) to the table during the past 5 months. Bob, I understand you were on the tide gate committee and that never was resolved in all these years due to pot stirring. Gwyn, you're a past board member, you should understand issues with committees, etc. You both had opportunity to engage and I think your emails and/or phone calls to other committee and board members did not help your cause because I had more than one contact me.

No matter what was recommended or resolved, regardless of whether we had consensus, neither of you will be happy. Reality, at this point, is that the special assessment is being worked. There are legal reasons to why we need to have

the complex fully completed and ADA compliant before opening.

Send your emails and arguments to the board, not me.

Thanks,  
Cathie Harrison

*Remember, Life isn't about surviving the storm; but how you dance in the rain*

-----Original Message-----

From: Bob Wilbur <[bbwilbur@broadstripe.net](mailto:bbwilbur@broadstripe.net)>

To: gwynstaton1 <[gwynstaton1@msn.com](mailto:gwynstaton1@msn.com)>; Cathie Harrison <[cathieanne@aol.com](mailto:cathieanne@aol.com)>

Sent: Tue, Apr 23, 2013 10:32 pm

Subject: Re: Pool Committee Notes - Thursday meeting

Hi Gwyn and Cathie,

Actually you both are a bit off – that is, the committees weren't to prepare the ballot and neither was the board to do it. Rather, it was to be a joint effort in good faith and trust.

Here what was passed unanimously at the Annual Meeting last October: *“...the Committee shall submit its findings to the Board and subsequently work with the Board to prepare an appropriate assessment ballot to be voted on by the members. Committee's findings shall be included, in full, with the ballot.”*

Cathie, as I requested more than several times, committee meetings should not be announced but arranged to *try* as best possible to accommodate as many folks as possible with disparate schedules. Nor were the committee meetings to be de facto mini board meetings. That is, when you have a Board that is viewed, correctly or incorrectly, as egotistically totalitarian, the way you magnify that perception is to stifle participation. What you and the Board *accomplished* Cathy was in many ways commendable and yet in other ways reprehensible, and with many a slip tween the cup and the lip, I'll leave it there and simply hope that the ballot is approved and ends this regrettable saga.

As I mentioned yesterday, I tend to think financing options may be more important than relatively small pluses and minuses on the total cost. With that in mind and our committee's charge, I will suggest once more that a committee meeting to work on the the ballot might very well be in the best interest of all of us.

No sense leaving the barn door open now...

bob

**From:** [Cathie Harrison](#)

**Sent:** Tuesday, April 23, 2013 12:47 PM

**To:** [gwynstaton1@msn.com](mailto:gwynstaton1@msn.com) ; [bbwilbur@broadstripe.net](mailto:bbwilbur@broadstripe.net)

**Subject:** Re: Pool Committee Notes - Thursday meeting

That was not the scope of the committee in all of our meetings, Gwyn - we gathered the info and made the recommendation.

The board is taking input and you are welcome to work with them. Several board members attended the pool committee meetings so they do have input from folks like Tim and Susie Pettersen, possibly Dustin after the last board meeting.

I have confidence that the wording will be accurate and fair, and also be full disclosure of costs. I do not know what the board agreed upon as to the final assessment cost. We made a recommendation from our committee (as a result of the Thursday meeting prior to the board meeting), the board took it from there.

Cathie

**Remember, Life isn't about surviving the storm; but how you dance in the rain**

-----Original Message-----

From: Gwyn Staton <[gwynstaton1@msn.com](mailto:gwynstaton1@msn.com)>

To: Cathie Harrison <[cathieanne@aol.com](mailto:cathieanne@aol.com)>; Bob Wilbur <[bbwilbur@broadstripe.net](mailto:bbwilbur@broadstripe.net)>

Sent: Tue, Apr 23, 2013 12:39 pm

Subject: RE: Pool Committee Notes - Thursday meeting

but the committee is to draft the ballot

Gwyn Staton  
7506 34th Ave NW  
Seattle, Wa. 98117  
206-784-6044

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This e-mail message (including attachments) is covered by the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 18 U.S.C. §§ 2510-2521, and is intended only for the person or entity to which it is addressed and may contain confidential and/or privileged material. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure dissemination, copying, forwarding or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply e-mail and destroy all copies of the original message.

---

To: [bbwilbur@broadstripe.net](mailto:bbwilbur@broadstripe.net); [gwynstaton1@msn.com](mailto:gwynstaton1@msn.com)  
Subject: Fwd: Pool Committee Notes - Thursday meeting  
From: [cathieanne@aol.com](mailto:cathieanne@aol.com)  
Date: Tue, 23 Apr 2013 13:33:01 -0400

This is the email I sent to the pool committee before attending the board meeting Saturday, April 13th. This email explains the recommended assessment which was presented at this meeting, and the next steps. I believe Karen posted minutes from this meeting which contain additional information and next steps.

The board met last Saturday to discuss and begin formulating the marketing and assessment for the wording. They have all the facts and data - they are responsible for the special assessment, not us. There is a 'process' they need to follow: a 30-day notice of a special assessment mailing, then a 30-day voting period.

Dustin was at that meeting, Gwyn. I don't know if he attended last weekend's meeting to discuss the assessment. He's part of the long-range planning committee as well - you might ask him.

There is nothing more for us to do at this time. There are many on the board who would like to keep the pool - I don't think you need to worry about any biases. But the reality is - it'll cost \$650K (2013 prices). And the pool cannot sit idle indefinitely - Bob Peetz quoted an state regulation that it can sit only two years before being shut down/demolished. The board has all these legal facts and were going to create a Q&A sheet to send out with the assessment.

If you have additional questions, please contact the board.

Cathie

-----Original Message-----

From: Cathie Harrison <[cathianne@aol.com](mailto:cathianne@aol.com)>

To: cathianne <[cathianne@aol.com](mailto:cathianne@aol.com)>; tdahl <[tdahl@shorelinefire.com](mailto:tdahl@shorelinefire.com)>; bbwilbur <[bbwilbur@broadstripe.net](mailto:bbwilbur@broadstripe.net)>; whshed <[whshed@live.com](mailto:whshed@live.com)>; dan\_serv <[dan\\_serv@hotmail.com](mailto:dan_serv@hotmail.com)>; gwynstaton1 <[gwynstaton1@msn.com](mailto:gwynstaton1@msn.com)>; pheffy <[pheffy@aol.com](mailto:pheffy@aol.com)>; danlisahall <[danlisahall@comcast.net](mailto:danlisahall@comcast.net)>; cedars <[cedars@olypen.com](mailto:cedars@olypen.com)>; hendrickcj <[hendrickcj@gmail.com](mailto:hendrickcj@gmail.com)>; bspettersen <[bspettersen@earthlink.net](mailto:bspettersen@earthlink.net)>; htrain4 <[htrain4@gmail.com](mailto:htrain4@gmail.com)>

Cc: jean\_salls2000 <[jean\\_salls2000@yahoo.com](mailto:jean_salls2000@yahoo.com)>; hermitanamarca <[hermitanamarca@gmail.com](mailto:hermitanamarca@gmail.com)>; karenshaak <[karenshaak@gmail.com](mailto:karenshaak@gmail.com)>

Sent: Fri, Apr 12, 2013 2:59 pm

Subject: Pool Committee Notes - Thursday meeting

A few notes from last night's meeting. Not many usually can attend the board meetings (2 PM tomorrow) and I wanted to level-set you before the minutes were posted.

**Attending:** Harry (& Barbara), Susie Pettersen, Sid, Dan Jones, Ed, Maria, Karen, Jean, Stig (architect), Cathie. Email comments were also discussed.

**Objective:**

- To agree on a realistic dollar amount number to provide the board this Saturday required for the special assessment

Although we had some specific questions for Stig, this meeting was not to discuss or plan every detail of the facilities or pool evaluations. Those questions will still be addressed when we have the money and actually start talking specific points (e.g., the extent of shallowing the pool, decreasing the deck size, the need to heat or insulate the entire building, roof, ADA complaint exterior bathroom, etc.). There is that possibility that the community may vote to not approve the assessment, so until the vote's counted and approved, there is no need to get to the low-level detail. Bids would have to go out first, after money is collected -- this entire process will take a few months (or longer).

**Bottom Line/Recommendation:**

- The building: Renovation vs. new, estimated cost: \$345,095 with 10% contingency padding, Stig suggested we may want more. Timeline: 6-7 month design/construction phase
- The pool:  
Estimated cost: \$213,950 without options. Does not include gas piping or electrical work, fencing. Options are additional \$43,500. Didn't see any 10% contingency built-in. Since we have no bids, we don't have a timeframe.

The recommended special assessment required: **\$650,000 - \$675,000** (\$650K may be sufficient). This number will be presented at the board meeting tomorrow, then our committee steps down for the time being.

The board will then create an information packet marketing the new complex, with Q&A regarding why ADA, etc. -- letting folks know that a special assessment is forthcoming. Documents (without individual pool line-item costs listed so as not to jeopardize or influence the bidding process) will be posted on the website for all the view.

Payment plans - pros and cons- were discussed. Susie had the excellent idea of accepting credit cards. Lots of discussion regarding the assessment and how to collect and the percentage of money needed in order to proceed. **In order to use the pool, both the pool and facilities projects need to be completed so staging one without money for the other makes no sense.**

If you have any suggestions regarding content, recommendations for wording of the information packet, payment options, **please contact the board.**

Thanks,

Cathie Harrison  
360.678.9003