

[Print](#)[Close](#)

---

## Re: RFQ for Pool Work

---

From: **karen shaak** (karensaak@gmail.com)

Sent: Tue 7/30/13 5:35 PM

To: Ed Delahanty (whshed@live.com)

Cc: Maria Chamberlan (hermitanamarca@gmail.com); Jean Salls (jean\_salls2000@yahoo.com); Dan Jones (dan\_serv@hotmail.com); Suzy Palmer (suzypalmer1@me.com); Bob Peetz (rapeetz@gmail.com)

Thanks for the input

4.1.2.2 - Both NW HotSprings and McKeen (pool committee contractor) indicated that we needed at least 8 1" holes based on the square footage and proximity to sea. they indicated we would need to do this for refurbishing too.

4.1.2.3 - Good idea. I will ask and update

4.1.2.5 - No depth is required. According to both Jason and Doug in the planning dept they suggested enough to preserve our decking if we want to preserve it. So, maybe 4-5inches.

4.1.3.1 - If we demo we need to take it all out including foundation and cover with gravel. I will add gravel ground covering after removal as a new requirement - good idea.

-Chlorinator and filters - at the last meeting we discussed contacting NW and asking if they were still interested in the used equipment. If i remember correctly they were interested.

-Heater - needs to be recycled. it is toast. We should ask the Grounds committee to start the recycling process and get rid of it.

-The assessment does not consider rebuilding. We have no need to rebuild one wall. The only reason to keep the half building standing for some period of time is for storage and use of the one restroom until the LRPC comes up with a recommendation for the shelter expansion with kitchen and restroom.

thanks again, let me know if you have more.

Karen

On Tue, Jul 30, 2013 at 1:20 PM, Ed Delahanty <[whshed@live.com](mailto:whshed@live.com)> wrote:

Overall, document looks good.

It should not be sent out until we hear back from State and County officials regarding what permits need to be granted, the requirements of the permits and for that matter if decommissioning is allowed as close to the shoreline as our pool is.

If decommissioning is allowed and we proceed to send out the RFP, a few questions/comments:

- Section 4.1.2.2 - how do we know that eight 1 inch diameter holes will be adequate to allow proper water flow in and out of the pool cavity?
- Section 4.1.2.3 should specify the permeability of the material used to fill the pool - an expert would need to be consulted.
- Section 4.1.2.5, depth of sand and gravel cover over remaining decking needs to be

specified, material and depth may be a requirement of one of necessary permits.

- Section 4.1.3.1, needs to specify material used to cover demolished northern half of pool building. (It might be simpler to leave the entire building standing and simply gut both shower rooms as mentioned in section 4.1.3.3)
- No mention was made of what to do with the filters, chlorinator and heater. Also, should include repairs to the exterior wall between the filter room and the heater. If that end of the building is to be left standing, that wall needs to be repaired to protect the adjacent rest room.

I'll let you all know if further thoughts occur.

Kind Regards,

Ed.

---

Date: Tue, 30 Jul 2013 10:12:49 -0700

Subject: Re: RFQ for Pool Work

From: [hermitanamaria@gmail.com](mailto:hermitanamaria@gmail.com)

To: [karensaak@gmail.com](mailto:karensaak@gmail.com)

CC: [jean\\_salls2000@yahoo.com](mailto:jean_salls2000@yahoo.com); [dan\\_serv@hotmail.com](mailto:dan_serv@hotmail.com); [whshed@live.com](mailto:whshed@live.com); [suzypalmer1@me.com](mailto:suzypalmer1@me.com); [rapeetz@gmail.com](mailto:rapeetz@gmail.com)

It looks most complete with bases cover- It's a go !

Maria

On Tue, Jul 30, 2013 at 8:20 AM, karen shaak <[karensaak@gmail.com](mailto:karensaak@gmail.com)> wrote:

Please provide me with comments. The goal is to send this to bidders in a week or so.