

[Print](#)[Close](#)

Re: assessment ballot

From: **Elsa Palmer** (suzypalmer1@me.com)
Sent: Mon 9/24/12 3:29 PM
To: Dustin Frederick (dustin@local519.org)
Cc: Carol and Ed Delahanty (caroldchina5@yahoo.com); Bob Peetz (rapeetz@gmail.com); Ed Delahanty (whshed@live.com); Gwyn Staton (gwynstaton1@msn.com)

Wonderful...let me know how I can help.

Suzy

Suzy Palmer
195 Perry Dr.
Coupeville, WA 98239
Cell: (847) 902-4922
Home: (360) 639-6050

On Sep 24, 2012, at 01:48 PM, Dustin Frederick <dustin@local519.org> wrote:

I don't believe an independent ballot has any direct power to change the direction of the board. My goal by sending out an independent ballot is to get better information out to the community and create a record.

Dustin

From: Elsa Palmer [mailto:suzypalmer1@me.com]
Sent: Monday, September 24, 2012 1:27 PM
To: Dustin Frederick; Carol and Ed Delahanty; Bob Peetz
Cc: Ed Delahanty; Gwyn Staton
Subject: Re: assessment ballot

Thanks Dustin.

The first option: "Yes. I want the pool". This appears it will not cost them anything. The "no" vote looks like it will cost them \$128 per site to demolish the pool. People will vote "yes" because it represents no change and no cost. This will work in our favor.

The second option: Assessment to refurbish the pool. Yes vote will cost \$992 per site. A "NO" vote costs nothing. People will vote "NO" because they think the pool is okay to remain as it is.

The third option: Increase of dues to operate the refurbished pool. Since they voted "no" in option #2 they will vote "no" here as well because they are not going to vote to spend more money.

Option #4: Accepting the 2013 budget. They will probably vote yes assuming the dues will remain the same.

The problem is that if the above senerio is the result of the final count there will be status quo, as Karen states. Then why ask the membership to vote on this as the result could be that nothing will change. They will have an empty pool and building on the site. We don't have current numbers for any of the work and absolutely no plan to move forward. I would think they should have the choict of setting up a "Planning Board" to meet and present a plan for the future (as per Carol's letter). Perhaps this is what an independent ballot could propose. My question is, "Does an independent ballot have any power to change the direction of the board?".

Many questions to be answered...

Suzy

Suzy Palmer

195 Perry Dr.

Coupeville, WA 98239

Cell: (847) 902-4922

Home: (360) 639-6050

On Sep 24, 2012, at 11:17 AM, Dustin Frederick <dustin@local519.org> wrote:

[Here it is.](#)

From: karen shaak [<mailto:karenshaak@gmail.com>]

Sent: Sunday, September 23, 2012 6:58 AM

To: Jean Salls; Maria Chamberlain; Bob Peetz; Dan'l Jones; Dustin Frederick; Carol

Delahanty

Subject: assessment ballot

OK,

Here is an option that is reworded to enable members to vote to save the pool and vote to pay no assessment and vote to not increase their dues (this maintains the status quo - want it and don't want to pay which everyone likes). It also enables members to vote to demolish the pool.

Needs to go to print tomorrow.

-karen